

functions are the only continuous solutions, was first proved by A. L. Cauchy [1

Proof. (i) Let $f \in \text{LIF}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $g \in \text{AD}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Fix $x_1, \dots, x_k \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $q_1, \dots, q_k \in \mathbb{Q}$

This choice is possible since

$$\sup_{f \in F} ((h + f)(\{x : |x| < \delta\}) - \{f(x)\}) \leq (\delta + 1)/F < \epsilon.$$

It is easy to see that all the required properties of h are preserved. This ends the proof of A(LIF)(R

Notice here that $A(\text{LIF}) = c$ (Fact 2.3 (v)) implies, in particular, that every function from $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{R}}$ can be written as the algebraic sum of two linearly independent functions. In other words $\text{LIF} + \text{LIF} = \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{R}}$. Since we only found the upper bound for $A(\text{HF})$, it

Proof. Notice first that if $|LC(f, 2)| = c$ then case (a) holds with $Z = \{0$

From (•) we see that if $\text{Lin}_{\mathbb{Q}}(x_1, x_2, x_3) \cap \text{Lin}_{\mathbb{Q}}(X) = \{0\}$ holds for c -many α then the set Z satisfies the condition $|\{z \in Z : \text{LC}(f, 2, z)\}| = c$. Obviously $Z \subseteq [\mathbb{R}^n]^{<c}$. Thus, case (a) holds.

Summarizing the above discussion, we just need to consider a situation when $\dim(\{x_1, x_2, x_3\}) = 2$ and $\text{Lin}_{\mathbb{Q}}(x_1, x_2, x_3) \cap \text{Lin}_{\mathbb{Q}}(X) = \{0\}$ for all α . Recall that $q_1 x_1 + q_2 x_2 + q_3 x_3 = 0$, where $q_1, q_2, q_3 \in \mathbb{Q} \setminus \{0\}$. If two of x_1, x_2, x_3 were dependent over \mathbb{Q} then we would have $\dim(\{x_1, x_2, x_3\}) = 1$. Thus, x_1, x_2, x_3 are pairwise independent. Now it is easy to see that case (b) holds. ■

Lemma 3.8. *Let $X \subseteq [\mathbb{R}^n]^{<c}$, $x \notin X$, and $y \in \mathbb{R}$. Suppose also that $h, g: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are functions linearly independent over \mathbb{Q} . Then there exist extensions h', g' of h and g onto $X \cup \{x\}$ such that h' and g' are linearly independent over \mathbb{Q} and $h'(x) + g'(x) = y$.*

Proof. Choose $h'(x) \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \text{Lin}_{\mathbb{Q}}(h[X] \cup g[X] \cup \{y\})$. This choice is possible since $|\text{Lin}_{\mathbb{Q}}(h[X] \cup g[X] \cup \{y\})| < c$. Then define $g'(x) = y - h'(x)$. It is easy to see that h' and g' are linearly independent over \mathbb{Q} .

holds because $f(-a_0) + f(a_0) = c$ and $m_0 = c$ if $c = 0$. Thus $0, c, 0, m_0$
 $\text{Lin}_0(h/A_0) = \text{Lin}_0(g/A_0)$. It is easily seen that h/A_0 and g/A_0 satisfy (a) and (b).

$x \in \text{dom}(h) = \text{dom}(g)$ and $v \in \text{Lin}_{\mathbb{Q}}(h) \cap \text{Lin}_{\mathbb{Q}}(g)$, where h and g denote the extensions obtained in the step .

Let $\epsilon < c$. Assume that $v \notin \text{Lin}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\text{dom}(\text{< } h)) \cap \text{Lin}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\text{< } g)$. Choose an $a \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \text{Lin}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\text{dom}(\text{< } h))$ and define $h(x)$ by $0, h(x) = \frac{1}{2}v$ for $x \in \{-a, a\}$. Put also $g(x) = f(x) - h(x)$. Since $f(-a) + f(a) \in \text{LC}(f)$, (3.3) implies that $v \in \text{Lin}_{\mathbb{Q}}(x$

The inductive construction of functions h and g is somewhat similar to the one from the previous case. So assume that $\epsilon < c$ and the construction has been carried out for all $\delta < \epsilon$. If $v \notin \text{Lin}_0(h)$ then let $X = \text{dom}(h) = \text{dom}(g)$ and $Y \subseteq [R]^{<c}$ be such a set that $\text{Lin}_0(g \upharpoonright \{v\}) \subseteq R^n \times Y$. By Property 2 (b), there exist $p_1, p_2, p_3 \in \mathbb{Q} \setminus \{0\}$ and pairwise independent $x_1, x_2, x_3 \in R^n$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^3 p_i x_i = 0$, $\text{Lin}_0(x_1, x_2, x_3) \cap \text{Lin}_0(X) = \{0\}$, and $\sum_{i=1}^3 p_i f(x_i) \notin Y$.

We extend h and g onto $\{x_1, x_2, x_3\}$. Choose $h(x_1), h(x_2), h(x_3) \in R$ in such a way that

$$\sum_{i=1}^3 p_i x_i, h(x_i) = 0, \quad \sum_{i=1}^3 p_i h(x_i) = v.$$

Then put $g(x_i) = f(x_i) - h(x_i)$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$. Obviously $v \in \text{gLin}_0(h)$ and

 $h \upharpoonright \{x_1, x_2, x_3\} \in Y$